

**UNCLE HENRY’S, INC.,** )  
) )  
**PLAINTIFF** )  
) )  
**v.** ) **Civil No. 01-180-B-H**  
) )  
**PLAUT CONSULTING, INC.,** )  
) )  
**DEFENDANT** )

The defendant's renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law or in the alternative to alter or amend judgment (Docket No. 169), the plaintiff's renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law (Docket No. 171), and the plaintiff's motion for a new trial (Docket No. 170) are **DENIED**. The plaintiff's motion to alter or amend judgment (Docket No. 172) is **DENIED IN PART** in so far as the plaintiff requests relief from this Court's prior summary judgment ruling. All of these issues have been briefed or argued abundantly already. The defendant has until August 20, 2003 to respond to the remaining portion of the plaintiff's motion to alter the judgment concerning pre- and post-judgment interest.

**DATED THIS 14TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2003.**

**D. BROCK HORNBY**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

U.S. District Court  
District of Maine (Bangor)  
Civil Docket For Case #: 01-CV-180

UNCLE HENRY'S INC.  
    plaintiff

STEPHEN C. WHITING, ESQ.  
WHITING LAW FIRM, P.A.  
75 PEARL ST, SUITE 213  
PORTLAND, ME 04101  
(207) 780-0681

EDWARD P. WATT, ESQ.  
ROBERT BOZELLI, ESQ.  
WATT & ASSOCIATES  
PLAZA TWO, SUITE 525  
901 SO. MOPAC  
AUSTIN, TX 78746  
(512) 306-9695

v.

PLAUT CONSULTING CO., INC.  
    defendant

JAMES G. GOGGIN, ESQ.  
PETER S. BLACK, ESQ.  
JOHN P. DENNIS, ESQ.  
DALE C. KERESTER, ESQ.  
VERRILL & DANA  
P.O. BOX 586  
PORTLAND, ME 04112  
(207) 774-4000